

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,626	1	06/20/2001	Hans Bruggemann	10537/126	10537/126 4532	
26646	7590	06/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON	& KENY	ON	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TU MINH		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1,2,, 1,4,	-, - · · ·			3748		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/885,626	BRUGGEMANN ET AL.	NC
navisory notion	Examiner	Art Unit	1 As
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	$\overline{\Box}$
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of this application and the control of the cont	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ı ued
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	,
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set	e under forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	lment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place	the the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	y
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-16,19,20 and 26-35</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			n
		tu M. Nguyes 6/28/04	,
		01 7-01 0 -1	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/885,626

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amended base claims 14, 32, and 35 that specify an arrangement separate and spaced apart from a particle filter, present new issues that would require further search and consideration.